



Volume Deficits of Castings Made from Aluminium, Magnesium, and Zinc Casting Alloys

CONTENTS

1	Scope	2
1.1	General information	2
1.2	Alloys	2
1.3	Casting process	2
2	Explanatory notes	2
2.1	Volume deficits	2
2.1.1	Shrinkage cavities (Micro porosity)	2
2.1.2	Hot cracks	4
2.1.3	Gas porosity	4
2.2	Other flaws	5
3	Potential effects of porosity	6
3.1	Static strength	6
3.2	Strength under cyclic loading (dynamic strength)	6
3.3	General leak tightness and mating surfaces	7
3.4	Surface and heat treatment	7
4	Evaluating the porosity of castings	7
4.1	Radiographic test with image intensifier (RT)	8
4.2	Ultrasonic test (UT)	9
4.3	Leak tightness test (LT)	9
4.4	Visual test (VT)	9
4.5	Density test	9
4.6	Microsection test and section test	10
5	Porosity evaluation by means of microsection testing, section testing and quantitative image analysis	10
5.1	Reference Areas for the pore evaluation	11
5.2	Porosity key	13
5.2.1	Definition and application recommendation for the reference area roughness [Rz]	13
5.2.2	Examples of porosity keys	16
6	Drawing notes	16
6.1	Collective note	16
6.2	Notes for defined areas	17
7	Literature references, standards, guidelines	18
Appendix 1 – Examples of microstructures with different pore contents		21
Appendix 2 – Example of reference areas and arrangement of partial surfaces/measuring fields		22
Appendix 3 – Definition of other flaws		24



1 Scope

1.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

This BDG reference sheet applies to castings made from aluminium, magnesium, and zinc casting alloys. The objective of this guideline is to describe requirements for the casting quality and their uniform entry into technical documents.

The scope of this reference sheet is restricted to inner and outer volume deficits (porosity). Other flaws such as sink marks, cold flow marks, stick marks, draw marks, burrs, and heat check patterns are not part of this guideline.

Porosity can be minimised, but not precluded, if the design engineer and caster collaborate.

1.2 ALLOYS

The scope of this reference sheet is restricted to castings made of aluminium, magnesium, and zinc casting alloys according to the relevant standards (DIN EN 1753 "Magnesium", DIN EN 1706 "Aluminium", DIN EN 12844 "Zinc").

1.3 CASTING PROCESS

The contents of this BDG reference sheet refer to

- > Die casting processes including related special casting processes, (e.g., squeeze casting and SSM/thixocasting)
- > Permanent mould casting processes and variants (e.g., low-pressure permanent mould casting)
- > Sand casting processes and variants
- > Investment casting processes

2 Explanatory notes

2.1 VOLUME DEFICITS

2.1.1 Shrinkage cavities (Micro porosity)

Shrinkage cavities result from the thermo-physical properties of the cast materials during solidification.




Figure 1: Specific volume of pure aluminium as a function of temperature

T_s = melting point

The specific volume of the materials deescalates within the scope of the reference sheet during the transition from the liquid to the solid state (= solidification contraction); see **Figure 1**.

Because the outer shell and sprue of a casting generally solidify early, volume deficits can form in the interior of the casting. By means of a suitable design of the casting for solidification, e.g., avoidance of harsh differences in the wall thickness, and optimal design of the casting system, this volume deficit can be minimised.

Shrinkage cavities have a more or less fissured, cavern type shape.




Figure 2: Typical shape of shrinkage cavities

It is impossible to manufacture castings that are completely free of shrinkage cavities.



2.1.2 Hot cracks

If the outer shell cannot withstand the stresses that occur during shrinkage, this may result in hot cracks. If the casting and mould are designed in an appropriate way, the hot cracks and the shrinkage cavities can be cured by refeeding the affected areas. Alloys with a wide solidification range are especially subject to hot cracks.

2.1.3 Gas porosity

Gas pores can result from the following causes:

- > fluid mechanic causes (inclusion of air bubbles due to turbulent mould filling)
- > Process-related and mould-material-related causes (outgassing by release agent, core/mould material, insufficient removal of casting gases)
- > Thermodynamic causes (solubility limit of gases in the casting alloy exceeded during cooling/solidification)

Their appearance differs from porosity due to shrinkage. Pure gas pores, if they are surrounded by melt when formed, are approximately round and have a smooth pore boundary.




Figure 3: Typical form of gas pores

It is impossible to manufacture castings that are completely free of gas pores.

2.1.3.1 Gas porosity caused by thermodynamics

Aluminium and magnesium have a decreasing solubility for elementary gases as temperature decreases. During cooling of the melt and solidification (during the liquid-to-solid transition), the solubility limit can therefore be exceeded and gas can be released, leading to the formation of gas pores. These gas pores frequently accumulate in the area of remaining solidification (example: hydrogen bubbles in Aluminium).



In alloys with a distinct solidification range, gas precipitation preferably takes place between the dendrite arms. As a result, gas pores produced by the release of gas generally do not have round contours, but rather must arrange themselves in their shape with the dendrite network. The contour rounding depends on the gas content of the melt.

2.1.3.2 Gas porosity caused by fluid mechanics

During mould filling, gases from the ambient air are included if the metal flow is turbulent. Gas pores caused by fluid mechanics generally are round as a result of interfacial stresses between gas and melt.

2.1.3.3 Gas porosity caused by mould materials

A thermally activated contact reaction between the metal melt and the mould material or the mould aids, such as release agents or die lubricants, can result in gases being released, which diffuse into the melt and are included during solidification. These gas pores are likewise generally round as a result of interfacial stresses between gas and melt. In sand casting and permanent mould casting, these pores are frequently just beneath the casting surface.




Figure 4: Gas porosity caused by mould materials

Note: In general, both porosity due to shrinkage and gas porosity occur together. The typical shrinkage cavities shown in Figure 2 generally also contain gas.

The gas pores shown in Figures 3 and 4 are generally enlarged by the shrinkage and frequently lose their round shape as a result.

2.2 OTHER FLAWS

In addition to porosity, other flaws may occur that can influence the casting quality, such as cold flow marks, stick marks, draw marks, burrs, heat check patterns, and sand inclusions.

These flaws are defined in Appendix 3. However, they are not part of this reference sheet.

As far as possible, reference is made to other guidelines and standards that deal with these flaws and their test methods.



3 Potential effects of porosity

Depending on the type and properties of the component as well as the load case, pores in castings can affect the strength, leak tightness under pressure, surface characteristics, and/or appearance of the component.

For technical components, the effect of porosity on the component strength must be considered in particular. The same porosity can have different effects on statically and dynamically stressed components.

For static loading as well as for cyclic loading, points of force application, stress intensity, and areas with the greatest stress concentration must be known in order to ensure suitable selection of pore classes.

3.1 STATIC STRENGTH

When a force is applied to a component, this causes stress in the cross section under load. This stress is proportional to the force divided by the cross-sectional area. If the cross section is reduced (weakened) because of pores, the stress increases. As soon as the resulting stress exceeds the elastic limit of the material, permanent deformation occurs, which can lead to fracture. In addition to this increase in stress caused by the reduction in the cross-section, a notch effect arises, depending on the pore geometry. For static loads, primarily the cross-sectional weakening and thus the pore content relative to the area must be considered critical.

Under bending load and torsional load, the position of the porosity in relation to the neutral axis must be observed. Especially in the case of shrinkage pores, the porosity is located in the excess material area and therefore near the neutral axis. As a result, the strength reduction in the total cross-section is proportional to the areal porosity content with good approximation.

3.2 STRENGTH UNDER CYCLIC LOADING (DYNAMIC STRENGTH)

The strength of a component under cyclic loading is determined to a large extent by notch factors in addition to the material. Geometric contours (e.g., small edge radii) as well as inhomogeneities caused by oxide films and non-metallic inclusions or unfavorably shaped phases, e.g., intermetallic phases, can lead to notches having greater notch factors than those of pores.

Pores have different notch effects depending on their shape, their position relative to the casting surface, and their arrangement relative to each other. The notch effect

- > increases with the areal porosity content and
- > decreases with increasing roundness, greater radius, and increasing distance of the pores from the casting surface.



3.3 GENERAL LEAK TIGHTNESS AND MATING SURFACES

When gas pores, shrinkage cavities, and hot cracks are directly connected with the casting skin (open pores, sink marks) or when they are cut during machining, this may lead to leakage of the components or mating surfaces depending on pore distribution. In connection with the requirement for leak tightness under pressure, hot cracks and interconnected shrinkage cavities in particular must be considered critical.

Depending on their shape and size, pores can cause damage and/or impairment of seals.

3.4 SURFACE AND HEAT TREATMENT

If the components have been coated, electroplated, or heat treated, porosity on or just below the surface can cause points of discontinuity and/or surface blisters. During heat treatment (annealing, thermal drying of paints, etc.) of castings, the elevated temperature causes a strength reduction of the material. As a result, gas-filled pores in particular can lead to deformation or blistering at the surface of the casting due to the internal pressure, which rises with increasing temperature. This applies in particular to die cast components (see Section 2.1.3.2). In die casting, blistering can be minimised by applying forced venting or vacuum to the die.

4 Evaluating the porosity of castings

The degree of porosity depends on the material, the manufacturing process, and the process-compatible design of the component itself. The degree of permissible porosity depends on the component's function.

Differentiation is made between **macroporosity** and **microporosity**:

Macroporosity includes all pores whose size and shape can be determined with the emmetropic human eye or an auxiliary means with the same resolution (such as X-ray method). These are pores with a minimum extent of about 0.5 mm.

Microporosity includes all pores whose size and shape cannot be reliably evaluated with the emmetropic human eye. They range up to an extent of 0.5 mm diameter. The minimum detectable pore size depends on the resolution of the auxiliary means used.

Porosity requirements must be based on the component loads (static strength, fatigue limit, leak tightness under pressure and function of machined surfaces, appearance of unfinished casting surfaces). The evaluation criteria and scales for this must be defined between the manufacturer and the purchaser before the contract is awarded. It may be useful to define porosity criteria for a component that apply only to specifically defined reference areas; see Section 5.1. The papers [12] to [19] provide good support in defining evaluation criteria and scales.



There are different testing options for evaluating the porosity of castings. The test method must be defined between the manufacturer and the purchaser in connection with the definition of evaluation criteria while taking the component requirements into consideration [1]. The analysis can be performed only by trained personnel and has costs associated with it. Quantitative statements about the porosity can be achieved with the microsection test and section test (Section 4.6). All other methods listed generally provide only qualitative statements about the porosity. **Table 1** provides an overview of the statements about various porosity characteristics that can be achieved with the test methods listed below.

Determination method / Quantity to be measured	Visual inspection (on machined functional surfaces)	2-D radiographic test (X-ray)	3-D radiographic test (CT X-ray)	Ultrasonic test	Leak tightness test	Density test	Microsection test and section test (qualitative)	Microsection test and section test (quantitative image analysis)
Global porosity content [%]	-	-	X	0	-	0	-	-
Porosity content in the reference area [%]	0	0	X	-	-	-	0	X
Pore diameter/length [Ø]	0	0	X	-	-	-	0	X
Disregarded pores [U]	X	0	X	-	-	-	X	X
Number of permitted pores [Z]	X	0	X	-	-	-	X	X
Distance of adjacent pores [A]	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	X
Pore accumulation [H]	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	X
Localised porosity [N]	0	0	0	-	-	-	0	X
Density of the casting	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-
Minimum pore size that can be evaluated	≥ 0,5 mm	5-6% of the X-rayed wall thickness	3-5% of the X-rayed wall thickness	No statement	No statement	No statement	Resolution of the microscope (< 0,1 mm)	Resolution of the microscope (< 0,1 mm)
Type of test	Random sample/100% test	Random sample/100% test	Random sample	Random sample	Random sample/100% test	Random sample	Random sample	Random sample
Expenditure	Medium/high	Medium/high	High	High	High	Medium	High	High

Quantitative measured values	X
Qualitative measured values	0
No measured values	-

Table 1: Overview of test methods/measured variables for determining porosity

4.1 RADIOGRAPHIC TEST WITH IMAGE INTENSIFIER (RT)

The radiographic test (X-ray test) [2, 3, 4, 5, 25] is a nondestructive test. The resolution of this test method depends on the wall thicknesses to be tested, the distance and angle of radiography, and the casting geometry. A two-dimensional projection of the casting is generated in the X-ray test. This causes restrictions in pore localization and identification. The maximum resolution of traditional X-ray systems used in foundries depends on the wall thickness to be X-rayed and is approx. 5 – 6% of the X-rayed wall thickness. Defects approx. 0,5 mm in size are at the lower resolution limit.



Quantitative statements about the pore content in a casting are possible with the X-ray test only with severe limitations. If certain casting qualities are agreed upon between the caster and the purchaser, maximum deviation samples are frequently used.

CT X-ray (computer tomography): CT X-ray allows pores to be evaluated precisely in defined casting sections or even whole castings. CT X-ray requires special equipment. Depending on the number of cross sections to be X-rayed, the cost and time required can be considerably higher than for the normal X-ray test.

4.2 ULTRASONIC TEST (UT)

The ultrasonic test is a nondestructive test [6]. The resolution depends on the casting contour, the wall thicknesses to be tested, the microstructure, and the ultrasonic distance and angle. A one-dimensional projection of the casting is generated in the ultrasonic test. A complete overview of a component can be generated only by scanning the entire component. During ultrasonic testing, complications can occur when the transducer is coupled and when the reflected signals (determination of size, number, and position of pores) are evaluated. Therefore, this method of determining porosity can be used only special cases. Calibration samples are generally required to be able to evaluate the signals of the ultrasonic test.

4.3 LEAK TIGHTNESS TEST (LT)

The leak tightness test is a nondestructive test [7, 8]. It is applied when leak tightness under pressure is required for a casting. The leak tightness test is appropriate only, if there are special leak tightness requirements for a component.

4.4 VISUAL TEST (VT)

The visual test is a nondestructive test. Its resolution depends on the test equipment used (e.g., magnifying glass) [10]. The visual test is performed on the rough contour of the casting or on machined surfaces. The general porosity cannot be quantified with this method.

4.5 DENSITY TEST

The density test is a nondestructive test. This method only allows the identification of the portion of volume porosity for the entire component. If castings are sectioned (destructive test!), it is also possible to determine the volume porosity by segment. Because the density test is generally performed with water according to Archimedes' principle, it is not possible to quantify open volume deficits with this method. If testing is performed by segment, volume deficits can be exposed by the cut and as a result are no longer detected in the density test. The density test in general is suitable as an aid for production optimization.



4.6 MICROSECTION TEST AND SECTION TEST

The microsection test is the method with the greatest informational value regarding porosities in critical component areas. Extensive information about the causes and formation of porosity can be obtained from metallographically prepared microsections. In addition to the porosity evaluation, other microstructure characteristics (e.g., the dendrite arm spacing) can be examined and evaluated with this method.

The microsection test and the section test are destructive test methods. Therefore, only random samples are taken. Evaluation is performed on a saw-cut section, a coarsely ground surface, a milled or turned surface, or a metallographically prepared microsection. The resolution of this method depends on the appearance of the considered surface and on the auxiliary means used for evaluation. The microsection test and section test only allow an evaluation of porosity in the considered section plane. The porosity can deviate significantly in higher or lower planes.

The microsection test and section test are generally agreed upon only for components with increased requirements in critical component areas.

It must be noted that pores can be filled by excess material if the samples are not prepared properly (during sawing, turning, milling, grinding, and polishing). This can lead to misinterpretations/ incorrect measurements.

This test method is a very extensive and therefore high-cost test method that requires special care when performed [20, 21].

5 Porosity evaluation by means of microsection testing, section testing and quantitative image analysis

Based on experience, the porosity of complex components varies depending on the position of the examined cross sections. The porosity is often not evenly distributed even within a cross-sectional area. The size and shape can vary considerably. For this reason, the description of the porosity for defined section planes through a component is the focus of this reference sheet. To describe the porosity requirements for a component, different pore classes can be defined for partial areas.

An orientation regarding which limit porosities are to be agreed upon and complied with can best be achieved if firstly the functional capability of the component is examined and ensured. Based on a component examined in this way, the degrees of porosity present must then be determined in the relevant cross sections and the permissible limit porosities derived from these. The rejection of functionally capable castings due to limit porosities specified too low must be avoided. The resolution of the test method must be considered in the specification of limit porosities.

The specification of a porosity to be complied with according to this guideline entails costs for mandatory testing that have to be assessed.



5.1 REFERENCE AREAS FOR THE PORE EVALUATION

The section planes for documenting compliance with the pore specification of a component must preferably be mutually agreed upon between the caster and the purchaser and must be based on the local loads. They must be defined in the component drawing. In this sense, even machined component areas represent potential section planes.

Radiographic tests evaluate the volumes of castings. For this reason, the following area related definitions of the cut surface, reference area, and measuring field/partial surface cannot be used for the radiographic test.

Within the section planes, reference areas are defined in which the (quantitative) pore analysis is performed. The position of the reference areas must be selected in such a way that they cover the cross sections that are highly relevant to the functional capability of the component. Under certain circumstances, a very small reference area may be sufficient if it has to bear exceptional loads and porosities in this area could impair the functional capability.

If it is agreed upon that the porosity must be measured using a microscope and quantitative image evaluation (microsection test and section test), then this must be performed at a magnification of 25:1 (20:1 is also possible). Because of the camera resolution on the microscope, square individual measuring fields general cover at most an area of 3 mm x 3 mm. If larger reference areas are defined, the reference areas may have to be divided into several individual measuring fields. In this case, it must be ensured that the individual measuring fields do not overlap, that all measuring fields of a reference area completely cover the reference area, and that the measuring fields have the same size. The reference area must have a square shape. Unless otherwise agreed between the supplier and the purchaser, the square must be sized so that it extends over the entire component wall (adaptation of the reference area to the local component geometry by selecting the largest possible inscribed square).

If the selection of a section plane results in annular cut surfaces, the size of the reference area to be evaluated is based on the thickness of the annulus. This breaks the rule of the maximum inscribed squares. However, a simplified evaluation of the reference area is possible using the quantitative image analysis. The pore contents can be correctly determined by subtracting the areas of the reference area that do not lie in the casting cross section.

If a reference area is not defined in the agreed upon section plane, the reference area must be selected so that it covers the area appearing to have the greatest porosity.



Figure 5 illustrates the concepts of section plane, reference area, and measuring field (also called partial area) and gives an example of the definition of reference areas within a section plane. In the example, there are exceptional loads in the area of the threaded joint. Therefore, the reference areas 1 and 2 have been specifically agreed upon there. Reference area 3 has been selected because of an exceptionally high mechanical load in that area.




Figure 5: Section plane of a casting with reference areas and measuring fields/partial areas for quantitative porosity evaluation

Note: In special cases, a reference area shape deviating from a square may be practical. These could be circles, equilateral triangles, or rectangles fit into the respective area with the maximum area. At least the side ratios must be defined to uniquely define rectangles. If there is doubt about the geometry of the reference areas to be applied, coordination between the supplier and the purchaser is required.



5.2 POROSITY KEY

A permissible porosity is specified in the form of a key. This key is composed of several parameters. The type and quantity of parameters can be freely agreed upon, and the parameters can be indicated in any sequence. The designation of the reference sheet is indicated in the first position in the porosity key, followed by a hyphen. The individual parameters follow. A numerical value is placed after each individual parameter. The parameters and the associated values are placed in square brackets. The various parameters are separated from each other by slashes.

Example of a porosity key:

VDG P202-[Parameter 1][Value]/ [Parameter 2] [Value]/ [Parameter 3] [Value]/ ... /[Parameter n] [Value]

5.2.1 Definition and application recommendation for the reference area roughness [R_z]

The detectability of pores depends decisively on the preparation of the reference area. Therefore, in addition to the criteria for the permissible porosity, the quality of the reference areas used must also be agreed upon between the supplier and the purchaser.

If the roughness is not indicated in the porosity key, [R_z] = 0 µm is valid. This corresponds to the quality of a metallographically prepared microsection.

If the specified porosity key refers to a surface of the machined end product, the surface must correspond to the specified quality. If surface qualities are not agreed upon, **Table 2** provides standard values for surface roughness (R_z) that can be achieved by wet grinding.

Grit size	Average surface roughness R _z in µm
320	46
500	30
800	22
1 000	18
1 200	15
2 500	10
4 000	5

Table 2: Surface roughness as a function of the grit size of the abrasive paper

The designation of the pore classes consists of the following parameters:


Pore content [%]:

The pore content is defined as the maximum permissible pore surface portion in percent for the agreed reference areas. The pore contents must be agreed upon in 5% increments. In exceptional cases for cyclic loaded components with maximum pore contents under 5%, 1% increments are recommended.




Pore diameter/pore length [Ø]:

The maximum pore diameter is indicated in mm. The following different definitions are possible:



* Equivalent diameter
(diameter of a circle of equal area) [Ø_F]



* Pore length (= maximum Feret)
or analogy diameter [Ø_L]

If no subscript abbreviation is indicated after the diameter sign, the pore length is always used.

Distance of adjacent pores [A]:

This parameter indicates the minimum edge distance between two adjacent pores. The distance indication refers to the pore diameter (Ø_F or Ø_L) of the smaller of two adjacent pores multiplied by an integer value. The value must be agreed upon by the manufacturer and the purchaser.

Note: The distance measurement requires special image analysis software. The use of this parameter is aimed particularly at the specification of mating surfaces. It is practical to use hole templates for a purely visual test.

Disregarded pores [U]:

This parameter is generally defined for machined mating surfaces. The value of [U] indicates up to which diameter pores are disregarded in the reference area evaluation.

Number of pores [Z]:

This parameter is generally defined for machined mating surfaces and functional surfaces. The integer value for [Z] specifies the maximum permissible number of individual pores in a reference area. Pore accumulations are treated as individual pores.

Pore accumulations [H], [H_R], or [H_K]:

A pore accumulation is existent if the distance between two adjacent pores is less than the diameter of the smaller pore.



[H] (without subscript) refers to pore accumulations in the entire reference area

[H_R] refers to pore accumulations in the edge area of the reference area (outer third of wall)

[H_K] refers to pore accumulations in the core area of the reference area (inner third of wall)

[H], [H_R], or [H_K] can have the following binary values:

0 = pore accumulations impermissible

1 = pore accumulations permissible

If a maximum pore diameter is defined in addition to the pore accumulation, pore accumulations must be treated as individual pores. This means that if the diameter of an initially permissible pore accumulation exceeds the maximum permissible pore diameter, this pore accumulation is impermissible.

Note: *It is recommended to make specifications regarding pore accumulations only for mating surfaces and functional surfaces.*

Localised aggregated porosity [N], [N_R], or [N_K]:

There is a localised aggregated porosity if the diameter of a pore accumulation exceeds the maximum permissible pore diameter.

[N] (without subscript) refers to localised aggregated porosity in the entire reference area

[N_R] refers to localised aggregated porosity in the edge area of the reference area (outer third of wall)

[N_K] refers to localised aggregated porosity in the core area of the reference area (inner third of wall)

[N], [N_R], or [N_K] can have the following binary values:

0 = localised aggregated porosity impermissible

1 = localised aggregated porosity permissible

If [N], [N_R], or [N_K] is listed in the pore key but a value is not indicated, the value 1 automatically applies, i.e., localised porosity is permissible in the corresponding area.

Note: *It is recommended to make specifications regarding localised aggregated porosity only for inner areas of casting walls.*



5.2.2 Examples of porosity keys

Schematic structure of the porosity key:

(Standard)-[Parameter 1][Value]/ [Parameter 2] [Value]/ [Parameter 3] [Value]/ ... /[Parameter n] [Value]

Example 1: **VDG P202- %15/ Ø_L3/N_K1**

A porosity of 15% is permitted for the agreed reference areas. A pore length of 3 mm must not be exceeded. Localised aggregated porosity is permitted in the core area (inner third of wall).

Example 2: **VDG P202- %5/ Ø_L1/H0**

A porosity of 5% is permitted for the agreed reference areas. A pore length of 1 mm must not be exceeded. Pore accumulations are not allowed.

Example 3: **VDG P202- %10 /ØF3/R_Z15**

A porosity of 10% is permitted for the agreed reference areas. An equivalent diameter of 3 mm must not be exceeded. The reference area has a surface quality with a roughness depth R_Z of approx. 15 µm.

Example 4: For a machined mating surface (casting from Example 3), the following applies specifically in the area of "sealing bead width ±1 mm": **VDG P202- Z4/Ø_L2/U0,5/A2**
In the agreed reference area (here: mating surface), a maximum of 4 pores is permissible – taking into consideration the indicated sealing bead width of ±1 mm. The maximum pore length must not exceed 2 mm. Pores up to and including a diameter of 0.5 mm are disregarded. The distance between two adjacent pores must be at least the diameter of the smallest of the two pores multiplied by the factor 2.

6 Drawing notes

In drawings, porosity definitions must be made for the agreed reference areas or for the entire component according to Section 5.1.

6.1 COLLECTIVE NOTE

Collective note means that the pore surface portion refers to any cross sections in the casting. A collective note can be practical if a general minimum porosity level for the entire component required by the design must be defined by the collective note.

If required, deviating specifications can be made for special component areas.

The reference areas must preferably be defined in mutual agreement between the manufacturer and the purchaser.


The collective drawing note is placed near the drawing title block. For collective notes, it must be noted that porosities are strongly cross-section-dependent. In particular for locally limited large wall thickness differences, low pore contents cannot be complied with by casting methods. Accordingly, the limits for the pore contents must be set sufficiently high for a collective note. The rejection of functionally capable castings due to limit porosities specified too low must be avoided.




6.2 NOTES FOR DEFINED AREAS

Notes for defined areas can be practical if, e.g., locally higher loads are present or a functional surface requires it.

According to this guideline, the note with locational reference must be made as follows:



VDG P202- %5/ Ø_L2/H0 (Example 2 from Section 5.2.2)



VDG P202- Z4/ Ø_L2/U0,5/A2 (Example 4 from Section 5.2.2)

Near the title block of the drawing, a reference to BDG reference sheet P202 must be made, with a collective note according to Section 6.1 if necessary.



7 Literature references, standards, guidelines

- [1] **DIN EN 1559-1**
Founding - Technical Conditions of Delivery - Part 1: General.
- DIN EN 1559-4**
Founding - Technical Conditions of Delivery - Part 4: Additional Requirements for Aluminium Alloy Castings.
- DIN EN 1559-5**
Founding - Technical Conditions of Delivery - Part 5: Additional Requirements for Magnesium Alloy Castings.
- DIN EN 1559-6**
Founding - Technical Conditions of Delivery - Part 6: Additional Requirements for Zinc Alloy Castings.
- [2] **DIN EN 444**
Non-destructive Testing; General Principles for the Radiographic Examination of Metallic Materials Using X-Rays and Gamma-Rays.
- [3] **DIN EN 12681**
Founding - Radiographic Examination
- [4] **ASTM E 155-95**
Standard Reference Radiographs for Inspection of Aluminium and Magnesium Castings.
- [5] **ASTM E 505**
Standard Reference Radiographs for Inspection of Aluminium and Magnesium Die Castings.
- [6] **DIN EN 583-1**
Non-Destructive Testing - Ultrasonic Examination - Part 1: General Principles.
- [7] **DIN EN 1593**
Non-Destructive Testing - Leak Testing - Bubble Emission Techniques.
- [8] **DIN EN 1779**
Non-Destructive Testing - Leak Testing - Criteria for the Method and Technique Selection.
- [9] **ISO 10049**
Aluminium Alloy Castings; Visual Method for Assessing the Porosity.
- [10] **ISO 3058**
Non-Destructive Testing - Aids to Visual Inspection - Selection of Low-Power Magnifiers
- [11] **DIN EN ISO 10135**
Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS) - Drawing Indications for Moulded Parts in Technical Product Documentation (TPD).
- [12] **Sonsino, C. M.; Dieterich, K.: Einfluss der Porosität auf das Schwingfestigkeitsverhalten von Aluminium-Gusswerkstoffen (Effect of the Porosity on the Fatigue Limit Behaviour of Aluminium Casting Materials - only available in German)**. Fraunhofer-Institut für Betriebsfestigkeit (LBF) Darmstadt, AiF-research project no. 5899 (1990) of the AiF (German Federation of Industrial Cooperative Research Associations).



- [13] **Ermittlung der Risszähigkeit von Aluminium-Gusswerkstoffen an Kleinproben unter besonderer Berücksichtigung einer vergleichenden Auswertung unterschiedlicher fließbruchmechanischer Konzepte (Determination of the Fracture Toughness of Aluminium Casting Materials on Small Samples under Special Consideration of a Comparative Evaluation of Different Flow-Crack-Mechanical Concepts - only available in German).** Final report on AiF-research project no. 6048 (AiF, German Federation of Industrial Cooperative Research Associations), Institut für Werkstofftechnik, Gießereikunde der TU Berlin, Berlin 1987.
- [14] **Einfluss der Porosität bei Aluminium-Gusslegierungen auf die Schwingfestigkeit unter Biegebelastung auf die Rissentstehung und den Rissfortschritt (Effect of Porosity in Aluminium Casting Alloys on the Fatigue Limit under Bending Load on the Crack Nucleation and the Crack Propagation - only available in German).** Final report on AiF-research project no. 8977 (AiF, German Federation of Industrial Cooperative Research Associations), Darmstadt 1997.
- [15] **Hück, M.; Naundorf, H.; Schütz, W.: Bruchmechanische Untersuchungen und Rissfortschrittmessungen an lunkerbehafteten, bauteilähnlichen Proben aus GK-ALSi12 (Fracture-Mechanics Examinations and Crack Propagation Measurements on Samples Made of GK-ALSi12 Having Shrinkage Cavities and Similar to Components - only available in German).** Z. Werkstofftechnik 14 (1983) pp. 325-329.
- [16] **Schindelbacher, G.: Einfluss unterschiedlicher Porosität auf die mechanischen Eigenschaften der Legierung GD-ALSi9Cu3 (Effect of Different Porosity on the Mechanical Properties of the Alloy GD-ALSi9Cu3 - only available in German).** Giesserei Praxis (1993) No. 19, pp. 381-392.
- [17] **Sonsino, C. M.; Ziese, J.: Schwingfestigkeit von Aluminiumlegierungen in verschiedenen Porositätszuständen und Aussagen zum Bauteilverhalten (Fatigue Limit of Aluminium Alloys in Various Porosity Conditions and Statements on the Component Behaviour - only available in German).** VDI-Werkstofftagung 91 (Association of German Engineers Material Conference 91), Munich, March 4-5, 1991.
- [18] **Ostermann, H.: Schwingfestigkeit gekerbter Flachstäbe aus der Aluminiumlegierung AlCuMg2 (3.1354.5) im Bereich zwischen 106 und 107 Lastspielen (Fatigue Limit of Notched Flats Made of the Aluminium Alloy AlCuMg2 (3.1354.5) in the Range between 106 and 107 Load Cycles - only available in German).** Fraunhofer-Institut für Betriebsfestigkeit (LBF), Darmstadt Technische Mitteilungen TM No. 38/68 (1968)
- [19] **Dieterich, K.: Schwingfestigkeit von höchstfestem Aluminiumguss (Fatigue Limit of High-Strength Cast Aluminium - only available in German).** Fraunhofer-Institut für Betriebsfestigkeit (LBF) Darmstadt, LBF Report No. 7543 (May 1999).
- [20] **Pries, H.: Einsatz der quantitativen Bildanalyse zur Bestimmung der Porengehalte in Aluminium-Druckgussteilen (Use of Quantitative Image Analysis to Determine the Pore Contents in Aluminium Die Castings - only available in German).** Lecture at the 1st International German Die Casting Congress in Neuss on April 13, 2001.
- [21] **Pries, H.; Helmke, E.: Einsatz der quantitativen Bildanalyse zur Bestimmung der Porengehalte in Aluminiumdruckgussteilen (Use of Quantitative Image Analysis to Determine the Pore Contents in Aluminium Die Castings - only available in German).** Giesserei 88 (2001) No. 12, pp. 49 – 55.
- [22] **Druckguss-Fehlerkatalog Aluminium (Casting Defect Catalogue Aluminium - only available in German)**




- [23] **Druckguss-Fehlerkatalog Zink (Casting Defect Catalogue Zinc - only available in German)**
- [24] **Druckguss-Fehlerkatalog Magnesium (Casting Defect Catalogue Magnesium - only available in German)**
- [25] **DIN EN 13068-3**
Non-Destructive Testing - Radioscopic Testing - Part 3: General Principles for the Radioscopic Testing of Metallic Materials by X- and Gamma Rays


Reference Sheet compiled by BDG Technical committees High Pressure Die Casting and Light Metal Casting




Appendix 1 – Examples of microstructures with different pore contents




Porosity: 1.1%




Porosity: 2.9%




Porosity: 4.8%



Porosity: 11.9%



Porosity: 16.4%




Porosity: 19.2%




Appendix 2 – Example of reference areas and arrangement of partial surfaces/measuring fields

The microsections were taken from components having different requirements. They were fulfilled in all the cases presented.




section plane



reference areas

areas 1 and 2 with higher requirements




Measuring fields/partial areas


4 measuring fields, each $3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2$
(reference area 1)

4 measuring fields, each $2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^2$
(reference area 2)

Figure A 2.1 Aluminium die casting




Section plane



Reference area

Area with higher requirements



Measuring field

Measuring field = reference area
(1,8*1,8 mm²)

Figure A 2.2: Aluminium die casting



Appendix 3 – Definition of other flaws

A 1.1 COLD FLOW MARKS

Cold flow marks are patterns representing the borders of different feeding flows on the casting surface.

A 1.2 STICK MARKS

These are depressions on the casting surface created when one or several thin layers fused with the mould surface are torn out. They often occur in areas which are extremely heated during the mould filling process.

A 1.3 DRAW MARKS

Draw marks result from local material removal and look like score marks and scratches on the casting surface (parallel to the mould removal direction). They are caused by friction between the materials when the casting is removed from the mould and when the cores are drawn.

A 1.4 BURRS

Burrs include casting burrs and burrs resulting from the deformation of material during trim pressing, milling, drilling, etc. Casting burrs are thin-walled material accumulations and metal residues adhering to the casting which result from penetration of the melt in the parting line or in fitting gaps of mould inserts or cores during casting.

A 1.5 HEAT CHECK PATTERNS

Heat check patterns are characterized by a spider-web-like, raised structure on the casting surface. They result from permanent damage of the permanent mould in the form of cracks (heat checks). These cracks in the moulds are formed in areas with especially high temperature cycle load.

A 1.6 OTHER FLAWS OF CAST COMPONENTS

Dimensional deviation, incomplete mould filling, volume variation, distortion, inclusions, ejector marks, flow lines, sink marks, lakes, forced cracks, and spotted surface are other flaws of castings.

In this context, reference is made to the corresponding literature [22 to 24].